

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/729,481	12/05/2003	Barney P. Johnson	11929.001	3101
28309 BOWERS HAI	7590 07/05/2007 RRISON LLP	•	EXAMINER	
GARY K. PRIC	CE, ESP.		LIEW, ALEX KOK SOON	
25 RIVERSIDE DRIVE PO BOX 1287			· ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	E, IN 47706-1287		2624	
		•		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·	•		07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/729,481	JOHNSON, BARNEY P.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alex Liew	2624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05							
· <u> </u>	· -						
·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex рапе Quayle, 1935 С.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applicatio	4) Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 December 2003</u> i	s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t	he drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in	Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have bee	n received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachment(s)	, C	V Commence (PTO 442)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		f Informal Patent Application					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 6 are rejected under U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Suzuki (US pub no 2003/0012425).

With regards to claim 1, Suzuki discloses a method for creating variable size and variable resolution stereograms on a non-planar surface, said method comprising the steps of

- selecting a first elemental image, said first image consisting of designs (see figure 15 row 241 has a first shaded design).
- selecting a second elemental image, said second image consisting of design (see figure 15 the row below 241 has a second shaded design),
- positioning a plurality of rows of the first image on an object having a non-planar surface (see figure 15 – the designs on 241 is repeated every other row),
 wherein said rows of the repeated first image differ from row to row and are

Art Unit: 2624

horizontally and differentially spaced apart (each design is spaced apart by Vm) and

positioning a plurality of rows of the second image on an object having a non-planar surface (see figure 15 – 240 the second row is also repeated every other row), wherein said rows of the repeated first image differ from row to row and are horizontally and differentially spaced apart (the design on the second row is spaced apart by Vm).

With regards to claim 6, see the rationale and rejection for claim 1. In addition, Lh2 shown in figure 18 is depth information seen from the eyes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 – 5 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki ('425) in view of Sussman (US pat no 5,641,289).

With regards to claim 2, Suzuki discloses a steregram comprising a plurality of rows of repeated elements, said elements differ from row to row and are horizontally and differentially spaced apart, wherein the rows of repeated elements are displayed on an

Art Unit: 2624

object having a non-planar surface (see figure 15 - 241 - the designs on the rows are repeated and spaced apart by Vm), wherein elements are horizontally and differentially apart (each odd rows are Vm spaced apart). Suzuki does not disclose having image perceived to float in spaced in three dimensional. Sussman discloses image being displayed are perceived to float in space in three dimensional as if parts of the image were located on different distances from a viewer (see column 3 lines 35 - 37). One skilled in the ordinary art would include having image perceived to float in spaced in three dimensional because to help us see the text and / or content of the images more clearly to retain memory of written text and / or content on the three dimensional image (see Sussman column 1 lines 6 - 7).

With regards to claims 3 and 4, an extension to the rejection of claim 2, Sussman also discloses repeated elements of text and symbol (see figure 1).

With regards to claim 5, Suzuki discloses a stereogram as recited in claim 2, wherein the repeated elements are designs (see figure 15 row 241).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alex Liew whose telephone number is (571)272-8623. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30AM - 7:00PM.

Art Unit: 2624

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Mancuso can be reached on (571)272-7695. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Alex Liew AU2624 6/20/07

JOSEPH MANCUSO
UPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER